

### BEFORE APPELLATE BENCH NO. I

In the matter of

### Appeal No. 47 of 2013

Mr. Irfan Ismail		Appellant
	Versus	
Director/Head of Department (MSRD)		Respondent
Date of Hearing	08/01/15	

#### **ORDER**

#### Present:

#### Appellant:

1. Mr. Irfan Ismail

### For the Respondent (through video conferencing):

- 1. Mr. Muhammad Arslan Zafar, Deputy Director (SMD)
- 2. Ms. Tayyaba Nisar, Assistant Director (SMD)
  - 1. This order is in appeal No. 47 of 2013 filed under section 33 of the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (the "Commission") Act, 1997 ("SECP Act") against the order (the "Impugned Order") dated 17/06/13 passed by the Respondent.
  - 2. The brief facts of the case are that Mr. Irfan Ismail ("the Appellant") was employed at Elixir Securities Pakistan (Pvt) Limited ("Elixir"), Broker/Trading Right Entitlement Certificate Holder of the Karachi Stock Exchange Limited ("KSE"), in the capacity of

Appellate Bench No. III

Appeals No. 20 of 2013

Page 1 of 5





KATS operator. As a part of his employment he was responsible for the execution of orders of the Foreign Clients of Elixir. On perusal of trading data of Karachi Automated Trading System of KSE, it was observed that Mr. Aamir ("Aamir") traded extensively in the shares of various companies during the Period from 01/05/12 till 17/04/13 (the "Period"). It is pertinent to mention that trading in the account of Mr. Aamir was conducted through Ismail Iqbal Securities (Pvt.) Ltd ("IIS"), Broker/Trading Right Entitlement Certificate Holder of KSE.

- 3. The analysis of trading pattern of Aamir during the Period indicated that his trading was carried out significantly in correlation with the trading of the Foreign Clients of Elixir, later termed as "Foreign Clients".
- 4. The detailed analysis of trading by Aamir was performed which signified the following facts:
  - (i) He was a day trader and squared his position by day end or by next day.
  - (ii) A significant portion of his trading was in correlation with the Foreign Clients where his trading completely or partially matched with the Foreign Clients.
  - (iii) On average 98% of trading by Aamir matched with the trading of Foreign Clients out of his total trading activity on the specific dates when the trading was in correlation with the Foreign Clients.
  - (iv) Scrutiny of order level data showed that Aamir used to place orders to trade in the shares of companies around the same time when the Foreign Clients were placing orders to purchase/sale shares of the same companies through Elixir.
  - (v) A significant majority of trading in the account of Aamir was directly synchronized with the placement of orders and trading of Foreign Clients of Elixir which resulted in matching of transactions.
- 5. The scrip-wise trading by Aamir and the consequent profit earned is enumerated as follows:

Appellate Bench No. III

Appeals No. 20 of 2013

Page 2 of 5





Sr.	Company Name	BOT-	SOLD-	Profit (Rs.)
		QTY	QTY	
		(shares)	(shares)	
1.	Engro Corporation Limited	71,000	71,000	68,759
2.	Fauji Fertilizer Bin Qasim Limited	50,000	50,000	23,810
3.	MCB Bank Limited	261,400	261,400	325,312
4.	National Bank of Pakistan	200,000	200,000	58,375
5.	National Refinery Limited	20,000	20,000	82,839
6.	Oil and Gas Development	279,500	279,500	263,233
	Company Limited			
7.	Pakistan Petroleum Limited	10,000	10,000	9,900
8.	United Bank Limited	414,500	358,000	241,175
			TOTAL	1.073,403

- 6. Considering the nature of trading executed by Aamir, the account details including account opening form, trading statement, financial ledger, copies of trading orders and details of receipts and payments of Aamir were obtained from IIS vide letter dated 25/03/13, The information received from IIS further highlighted the following facts:
  - (i) Aamir had mentioned his relationship with the Appellant as brother.
  - (ii) Aamir had authorized the Appellant to operate his trading account maintained with IIS.
  - (iii) Aamir had nominated the Appellant to receive securities/cash in his trading account maintained with IIS.
  - (iv) Aamir had mentioned Appellant's email address in his contact details as his contact person.
  - (v) The confirmation statement for trading activity in the trading account of Aamir was being sent to email address of the Appellant on daily basis. In addition to the

Appellate Bench No. III

Appeals No. 20 of 2013

Page 3 of 5





information received from IIS, the identity details of Aamir were also obtained from National Database and Registration Authority, which revealed that Aamir is the real brother of the Appellant.

- 7. The information related to the trading and order placement of the Foreign Clients was sought from Elixir vide letter dated 25/03/13. The requisite information comprised of name of official who received the orders for the Foreign Clients. name of official who executed the trades for the Foreign Clients, any other official/KATS who operator was aware/involved in the order placement/execution of the Foreign Clients on the given dates and the mode of placing orders by the Foreign Clients along with the dates and timing of the same. The information obtained from Elixir revealed that the Appellant was KATS operator in Elixir and was responsible for the execution of the orders placed by the Foreign Clients during the Period. Based on the aforesaid trading pattern of Aamir and its significant synchronization with trading of Foreign Clients of Elixir with the relationship of the Appellant with Aamir, prima facie, established that the Appellant, being an insider, by virtue of his position as KATS Operator at Elixir, had passed on/disclosed the inside information relating to trading orders received from Foreign Clients to Aamir, based on which Aamir indulged in insider trading.
- 8. Show Cause Notice ("SCN") was issued to the Appellant to explain as to why action should not be taken against him under Section 15E(3) of the Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 (the "Ordinance"). Hearing in the matter was held on 08/05/13. On the date of hearing the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Operating Officer of Elixir appeared before the Respondent. The Appellant appeared at the Commission's office but left without attending the hearing. The Appellant was given another opportunity to attend the hearing on 17/05/13. Mr. Aamir, brother of the Appellant, attended the hearing but the same could not be held due to procedural issues. The Appellant was given a final

Appellate Bench No. III

W.

5

Appeals No. 20 of 2013 Page 4 of 5



opportunity to attend the hearing and to appear before the Commission's Karachi office on 23/05/13. Mr. Aamir once again appeared before the Respondent on 23/05/13 and made verbal submissions.

- 9. The Respondent dissatisfied with the submissions made by Aamir, the Appellant's brother, held that the Appellant was an insider by virtue of his position and employment at Elixir, where he used to receive material non-public information relating to trading orders of Foreign Clients. It is further established that the Appellant provided information relating to trading orders of Foreign Clients to Aamir. In exercise of the powers under section 15E(3) of the Ordinance, a fine of Rs. 100,000 was imposed on the Appellant for passing on/disclosing material inside information relating to trading orders of Foreign Clients to his brother Aamir who was not required to possess such inside information for any reason.
- 10. The Appellant has preferred the appeal against the Impugned Order. The Appellant admitted his default at the hearing but said he was unable to pay the fine imposed by the Respondent. The Respondent reiterated their earlier stance in the Impugned Order.
- 11. The Appellant has admitted his default and we see no reason to interfere with the Impugned Order. The appeal is dismissed with no order as to costs.

(Fida Hussain Samoo) Commissioner (Insurance)

(Tahir Mahmood) Commissioner (CLD)

Announced on: 2 2 JAN 2015